# **Project Staff**

**Principal Investigator** Paul Shekelle, M.D., Ph.D.

**Co-Principal Investigator** Erin Stone, M.D.

**Project Manager** Margaret Maglione, M.P.P.

Article Screening/Review Kathleen Boyle, Ph.D.

Valerie Hoffman, Ph.D., M.P.H.

Karen Klein, Ph.D. Walter Mojica, M.D. Gregory Vaughn, M.P.H.

**Senior Statistician** Sally Morton, Ph.D.

Senior Programmer/Analyst Elizabeth A. Roth, M.A.

**Programmer** Brian Chao, B.S.

**Staff Assistant** Shannon Rhodes, M.F.A.

**Economists** Shin-Yi Wu, Ph.D.

Geoffrey Joyce, Ph.D.

Chair, Expert Panel Michael Fiore, M.D., M.P.H.

Principal Investigator, Healthy Aging Project Laurence Rubenstein, M.D.

#### **Preface**

The Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), in consultation with other Agencies in the Department of Health and Human Services, initiated the Healthy Aging Project to enhance and promote the health of older people. A major objective of the Healthy Aging Project is to identify, synthesize and disseminate evidence and expert opinion on health promotion and disease prevention interventions that are evidence-based. HCFA is sponsoring reports that present evidence and expert opinion to assist public and private sector organizations in their efforts to improve the delivery of Medicare-covered preventive benefits and promote behavioral risk factor reduction. These reports provide comprehensive, science-based information on effective and cost-effective interventions targeting the senior population. RAND is producing these reports under a HCFA contract.

HCFA expects that these evidence reports will inform peer review organizations, individual health plans, providers and purchasers, including Medicare and Medicaid, as well as the health care system as a whole by providing important information to help improve the delivery and quality of preventive health care for older people.

We welcome written comments on this evidence report. They may be sent to:

Healthy Aging Project Officer
Division of Health Promotion and Disease Prevention
Quality Measurement and Health Assessment Group
Office of Clinical Standards and Quality
Health Care Financing Administration
7500 Security Blvd.
MS3-02-01
Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

Jeffrey Kang, MD, MPH Chief Clinical Officer Health Care Financing Administration

#### The Southern California Evidence-Based Practice Center

The Southern California Evidence-Based Practice Center is part of the Evidence-Based Practice Program sponsored by the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. One of 12 such Centers nationwide, the Center conducts systematic reviews and technology assessments of all aspects of health care; performs research on improving the methods of synthesizing the scientific evidence and developing evidence reports and technology assessments; and provides technical assistance to other organizations in their efforts to translate evidence reports and technology assessments into guidelines, performance measures, and other quality-improvement tools.

The Center combines the talents of RAND and its five affiliated regional health care institutions: the University of California, Los Angeles; the University of California, San Diego; Cedars-Sinai Medical Center; the University of Southern California; and Value Health Sciences. In addition, through the VA/RAND/ University of California Field Program "Center for the Study of Health Care Provider Behavior," four Department of Veterans Affairs facilities collaborate with the Center. The Center is also affiliated with five health services research training programs.

The Southern California Center is the natural outcome of more than 20 years of work by RAND and its affiliated institutions in reviewing the biomedical literature for evidence of benefits, harms, and costs; using meta-analysis, decision analysis, and cost-effectiveness analysis to synthesize the literature; developing measures of clinical appropriateness and practice guidelines; developing and assessing medical review criteria; and developing and assessing performance measures and other tools for translating evidence-based knowledge into clinical practice. The hallmark of this work has been (a) its multi-disciplinary nature: RAND and its affiliated institutions combine the talents of clinicians, health services researchers, epidemiologists, statisticians, economists, and advanced methods experts in meta-analysis and decision analysis; (b) the advancement of knowledge about the methods for performing literature reviews, synthesizing evidence, and developing practice guidelines or review criteria; and (c) the emphasis on developing and evaluating products for use in the real world of health care delivery.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
INTRODUCTION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
METHODS	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
IDENTIFICATION OF LITERATURE SOURCES  Cochrane Collaboration  Smoking Cessation Guidelines  Previous systematic reviews  Health Care Quality Improvement Projects (HCQIP)  Supplemental library search  EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL EVIDENCE	Error! Bookmark not defined.
EXTRACTION OF STUDY-LEVEL VARIABLES AND RESULTS	
EXPERT PANEL REVIEW OF EVIDENCE REPORT	
STATISTICAL METHODS	
Meta-regression analysis	
Cost effectiveness	
IDENTIFICATION OF EVIDENCE	
DISTRIBUTION OF EVIDENCE	
DESCRIPTION OF EVIDENCE	
QUALITY OF EVIDENCE	
DESCRIPTION OF RESULTS	
Question 1. How should providers be reimbursed?	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Question 2. How useful is provider training?	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	zation and how effective are patient financialError! Bookmark not defined.
Question 6 & 7. How effective is telephone and other coun	
Question 8. How effective is pharmacotherapy?	
Question 9. How effective is self-help?	
Question 10. What practice settings are more effective?  Question 11. Who is more effective in delivering smoking of the defined.	
Question 12. Do certain interventions work better for spec Question 13 & 14. What are the costs and cost effectivenes LIMITATIONS OF THIS REVIEW	ss of interventions? Error! Bookmark not defined.
CONCLUSIONS	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
RECOMMENDATIONS	
IN TEXT REFERENCES	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
ARTICLES ACCEPTED FOR THIS REVIEW	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
ARTICLES REJECTED FOR THIS REVIEW	
EVIDENCE TABLE	

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLES	
Table 1. Literature Search Terms Used by the Cochrane Tobacco Group.	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 2. Previous Systematic Reviews	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3. Expert Panel	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 4. Interventions by Type	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 5. Cost-sharing Plans Analyzed	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 6. Effectiveness of Nicotine Replacement Therapy versus Control.	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 7. Interventions with Hospitalized Patients	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 8. Efficacy of Interventions Delivered by Various Types of Clinicia	ansError! Bookmark not defined.
Table 9. Meta-regression Results by Provider	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 10. Costs of Smoking Cessation Medications	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 11. Summary of Cost-effectiveness of Smoking Cessation Interven	ntions in 1999 dollarsError! Bookmark not
FIGURES	
Figure 1. Conceptual Model	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 2. Screening Form	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 3. Abstraction Form	.Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 4. Flow of Evidence	. Error! Bookmark not defined.